This white paper details a plan to nationally standardize PDMPs to better track and deter abuse of controlled substance prescriptions. The plan leverages NCPDP’s Telecommunication and SCRIPT Standards in use industry-wide. It includes best practices to improve prescriber and pharmacy clinical decision making at point-of-care, and supports real-time access to PDMP data across state lines. It integrates the prescription monitoring process into workflows and provides timely clinical data to prescribers and pharmacists, which also helps ensure access for patients with a valid medical need for controlled substances.
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Executive Summary

Even though heavy investment in Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMP) has been made in the recent year, the problem of prescription drug abuse has continued to be the fastest growing drug problem in the U.S. Someone in the United States dies from an unintentional prescription drug overdose every 19 minutes.¹ Current PDMPs lack methods to share prescription information effectively to address potential drug abuse and diversion or evaluate patient risk. The current prescription monitoring communication process is burdensome and does not provide information in a timely manner in order to make clinical decisions at point of care.

Prescription drug abuse has captured the attention of the federal government in the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act (CARA)² for PDMP enhancements. President Obama signed this bipartisan bill into law in July 2016. The CARA highlights the need to enhance today’s Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs which currently lack uniform best practices. CARA paves the way for leveraging existing technology and standards to address prescription drug abuse now through:

- Electronic prescribing of controlled substances (EPCS) technology which is key to helping providers more efficiently ensure prescription medicines are being prescribed properly.
- Medication adherence monitoring technologies that allows providers to gauge in real-time, at the point of care, a patient’s level of drug compliance.
- Clinical decision support that assists providers in preventing adverse drug events.
- Full adoption of PDMPs will likely never be achieved until the PDMP information is accessible in the provider’s technology workflow.

NCPDP’s recommendations for an integrated, interoperable solution will ensure a patient’s safe use of controlled substances by:

- Sharing real-time information at point of care through the use of existing bidirectional industry standards.
- Reducing the burden on providers by incorporating potential drug abuse information in both pharmacy and prescriber’s workflow.
- Enabling a proactive notification to providers when a patient exhibits patterns indicative of opioid misuse.
- Ensuring access to appropriate therapy for patients with valid medical needs.

¹ American Public Health Association’s Prevention and Intervention Strategies to Decrease Misuse of Prescription Pain Medication (10/11/2016)
² Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act
Utilization of NCPDP’s existing standards within the data flow above will enable healthcare providers to deter prescription drug abuse and ensure access for patients with a valid medical need before controlled substances are prescribed or dispensed using real-time alerts and responses. This sustainable approach eliminates data silos and promotes interoperability, provides actionable and timely information to prescribers and pharmacists using existing workflows to ease adoption, and supports patient safety efforts to curb a public health crisis. NCPDP and its stakeholders are working to finalize details of the role of the prescription monitoring hub (PDMP Facilitator), as described in CARA, to enable the exchange of information as outlined in NCPDP’s solution to ensure a patient’s safe use of controlled substances.
1. Purpose and Scope

A focus group on Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs) was held in Baltimore, MD on October 18, 2012, facilitated by the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP). Goals and Objectives of the focus group were to identify the current and future issues and needs regarding the exchange of information for PDMPs. Identifying the specific industry challenges and the goals of the PDMPs, providers, prescribers, and regulatory agencies, would allow NCPDP to propose efficient solutions leveraging existing standards and methodologies as well as develop applicable enhancements that would be standardized across the industry.

The focus group included attendees from pharmacies, Pharmacy Benefit Managers (PBMs), intermediaries, prescriber vendors, ePrescribing vendors, software vendors, drug compendia, consultants, state agencies, Federal Drug Administration (FDA), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), the MITRE group, and NCPDP.

At the request of the PDMP focus group, during the November 2012 NCPDP Maintenance and Control Work Group meeting, the PDMP Task Group was formed with the initial task of developing this white paper to: (1) examine the problems; (2) identify future needs; and (3) recommend solutions for PDMP reporting as well as the role of NCPDP. The goals are (1) to complete the white paper and send it to the Office of the National Coordinator (ONC) by March 2013 to coincide with the MITRE contract timeline, and (2) make the white paper available to the industry.

To address the prescription abuse program ONC formed a Standards & Interoperability (S&I) Framework to bring together the PDMP and health IT system communities to standardize the data format, transport, and security protocols to exchange patient controlled substance history information between PDMPs and health IT systems. NCPDP participation has been a high priority for the NCPDP Task Group. As a result of pilot testing, several enhancements have been made to the NCPDP SCRIPT Medication History Request and Response transactions, which convey information to the prescriber about the previous prescriptions written.

At the request of the NCPDP Strategic Planning Committee in November 2014 the NCPDP PDMP White Paper Task Group re-convened to update the white paper based on any additional industry information including results of the ONC S&I Framework. This task was completed in April 2015.

After the introduction of the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act calling for specific enhancements to PDMP programs and the NCPDP EDvocacy meetings, additional updates were made to the white paper in October 2016 including the addition of an Executive Summary.
2. Background

A PDMP is an electronic database that collects designated data on controlled substances dispensed or prescribed within a given state. The data collected usually includes the names and/or demographic information for the patient, prescriber, and dispenser; the name and dosage of the drug; the quantity supplied; the number of authorized refills; and the method of payment.

PDMPs are established and managed at the state level and can vary considerably from state-to-state. Some areas of variation include:

- **Organizational structure.** Each state determines which agency houses the PDMP and how it is operated.

- **Substances monitored.** PDMPs allow monitoring of controlled substance prescriptions and other drugs with potential for abuse. This varies by state.

- **Level of access.** Some PDMPs allow law enforcement to access the database directly; others require law enforcement to obtain a court order or subpoena to access data; and some allow indirect access via a report in response to a request from law enforcement as a part of an active investigation.

- **Solicited and Unsolicited Reporting.** In some states, the PDMP is “reactive” meaning that only solicited reports are generated in response to a query by authorized users such as prescribers, dispensers and other groups with the appropriate authority. PDMPs of other states, in addition to providing solicited reports, are “proactive,” generating unsolicited reports when there is reason to suspect that violations on the part of the patients or users have occurred but only for law enforcement, not providers.³

- **Purpose and Usage.** The purpose is dependent on user intent and varies by user. Users may be law enforcement, regulatory agencies, state payer programs, researchers or providers.

- **Reporting of Prescription Data.** Timeliness of reporting the prescription data to a PDMP varies by state.

- **Prescription Data reporting formats.** State PDMPs are currently using different versions of the American Society for Automation in Pharmacy (ASAP) data transmission formats. ASAP format employs a batch data submission and is not an ANSI-accredited standard. In addition, pharmacies are required to submit prescription data based on state specific requirements/rules, which include the submission of a different identifier and required data elements by state.
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- **Interoperability.** State PDMPs vary widely as to whether information contained in the database is shared with other states. While some states do not have measures in place allowing interstate sharing of information, others have specific practices for sharing. An effort is ongoing to facilitate information sharing using prescription monitoring information exchange.
3. The Problem

According to the Office of National Drug Control Policy, prescription drug abuse is the nation’s fastest growing drug problem, and prescription drug overdose deaths have been classified as epidemic by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. An integrated workflow solution to provide a streamlined, standard communication process would enhance the ability of the health care provider to address the epidemic and mitigate patient care risks. The current prescription monitoring communication process is outside the workflow process and systemically burdensome. It does not effectively provide information in a timely manner or evaluations across all state lines and across all pharmacies.

From a pharmacist’s and prescriber’s perspective, workflow integration and the adoption of national standards is critical to allow the provider to identify potential drug abuse, diversion, and evaluate patient safety risk and to make appropriate clinical decisions before a prescription is written or dispensed.

There are other entities that impact prescription drug monitoring programs, such as emergency departments, pain clinics, dispensing physicians, and ambulatory surgery centers. These entities may provide information for PDMP reporting and may need access to reporting information.

3.1 Pharmacy Perspective

From a pharmacy perspective, today’s processes for using PMDPs for preventing prescription abuse and evaluating patient safety risk are not adequate. Barriers include:

- Lack of real-time interoperable databases among all the states.
- Lack of a nationally adopted ANSI or other accredited standard for real-time reporting to state PDMP databases.
- Lack of a standard set of data elements and values to make interoperability possible.
- Lack of real-time response for validating accurate data.
- Lack of a real-time response in order to make clinical decisions before the prescription is dispensed. The current process is manual and outside of the pharmacy workflow.
- Lack of standardized patient matching criteria at the PDMP or intermediaries.
- Lack of consistency among state requirements when a pharmacy is required to check PDMP data prior to dispensing.

3.1.1 Evaluation of Prescription Data

- No standard measurement for evaluating clinical risk among patient and pharmacy history and doctor prescribing data submission and verification.
- Response to data submissions and queries is untimely. As a result, the process of storing the data is inefficient, whereby clinical decisions could be at risk.
- Lack of validation of accurate prescription data elements required for PDMP at the time the prescription is dispensed.
- PDMP alerts are not available within the pharmacy dispensing workflow.

3.1.2 Reporting/Data Submission
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- Pharmacy has varying requirements by state for submitting PDMP data. The result is supporting multiple transaction layouts that increase administrative costs.
- Frequency of data submission varies from state to state between near real-time to monthly.
- If the data submitted is inaccurate or incomplete (i.e., missing patient zip code), the notification and update process is inconsistent amongst the different programs.
- Data and format requirements vary from state to state. Most states require data formatted in various versions of the (ASAP) Standards.
- Pharmacy compliance monitoring varies by state.
- Data is not normalized (i.e., address/city/state, “one” vs. “1”)
- Data is delivered using many automated and manual methods such as:
  - Secure FTP over SSH
  - Encrypted File with OpenPGP via FTP
  - SSL Website
  - Physical Media (Tape, Diskette, CD, DVD)

3.1.3 Data Accessibility

- Internal security firewalls can prevent access to databases.
- Gaining access to state PDMPs varies widely from state to state.
  - Those individuals that are allowed access to PDMP data vary by State.
  - Process of registering for access varies by State.
  - Validation of access varies by State.
- Access is not available to all those participating in the dispensing and clinical processes.
- Pharmacy does not have access to PDMP data within their workflow as a result must interrupt operational processes to access an external database.
- Consistent access to PDMP data across state lines impacts the pharmacy’s ability to make accurate clinical decisions.
- Pharmacists providing patient care (clinical services such as Drug Utilization Review and Medication Therapy Management) should have access to PDMP data prior to comprehensive medication reviews.

3.1.4 Data Integrity

- Gaps in data:
  - Not all entities are required to submit data (e.g., Indian Health Services, Veterans Administration, state specific programs, and other providers and locations that are administering and dispensing medications are included).
  - Drugs required to be reported vary by State.
  - NDC matching may vary by compendia companies.
- Missing, incomplete and/or invalid data due to lag in reporting and validation leads to incomplete records.

3.2 Prescriber Perspective

From a prescriber perspective, the current process for preventing prescription drug abuse is not adequate for addressing the need for improving patient safety. The ePrescribing process is a method to help data verification reporting accessibility but prescription drug monitoring information needs to fit into the prescriber’s ePrescribing workflow. Barriers include:
NCPDP’s Recommendations for an Integrated, Interoperable Solution to Ensure Patient Safe Use of Controlled Substances

- Lack of real-time interoperable databases among all the states.
- Lack of a standard set of data elements and values to make interoperability possible.
- Lack of real-time response for validating accurate data.
- Lack of a real-time response in order to make clinical decisions before the prescription is written. The current process is manual and outside of the prescribers workflow.
- Lack of standardized patient matching criteria at the PDMP or intermediaries.
- Lack of consistency among state requirements when a prescriber is required to check PDMP data prior to prescribing.

3.2.1 Data Verification
- Access to the PDMP data is a manual process and does not fit into the prescriber’s workflow.
- Data varies by state and is inconsistently organized and/or presented.
- Clinical decisions are not integrated into the prescribing process.
- Individual state record look-up often times-out after several seconds.

3.2.2 Data Accessibility
- Internal security firewalls can prevent access to databases.
- Gaining access to state PDMPs varies widely from state to state.
  - Individuals who are allowed access to PDMP data varies by State.
  - Process of registering for access varies by State.
  - Validation of access varies by State.
- Access is not available to all those participating in the prescribing and clinical processes.
- Prescriber does not have access to PDMP data within their workflow and as a result, must interrupt operational processes to access an external database.
- Consistent access to PDMP data across state lines impacts the prescriber’s ability to make accurate clinical decisions.
- Prescribers are notified of doctor shopping issues outside of their workflow, i.e., email.

3.2.3 Data Integrity
- Gaps in data:
  - Not all entities are required to submit data (e.g., Indian Health Services, Veterans Administration, state specific programs, and other providers and locations that are administering and dispensing medications are included).
  - Drugs required to be reported vary by States.
  - NDC matching may vary by compendia companies.
- Missing, incomplete and/or invalid data due to lag in reporting and validation leads to incomplete records.
4. Improvement Recommendations

By leveraging existing industry standards and processes, several recognized problems could be resolved.

4.1 Standardization

- Require a standard set of data elements to be reported by dispensers’ systems to the PDMP to be adopted by all states.
- Require one standard transaction format/version for reporting PDMP to the states.
- Require one standard transaction for the request and response of PDMP data.
- Create and adopt a nationally recognized clinical risk score to assist prescribers and dispensers with clinical decisions.

4.2 Real-Time Reporting

4.2.1 Dispenser Reporting of Data

- Reduce reporting delays by allowing PDMP type rejections to be corrected at point of sale.
- Enable the exchange of information across states to create a comprehensive picture of prescribing and dispensing patterns.
- Report Date Filled or Date of Service rather than Date Sold (date delivered or shipped).
- Real-time reporting would eliminate the need for zero reports (no schedules filled).

4.2.2 Retrieval of PDMP Data

- Improve patient quality of care with clinical decision alerts presented at the time of prescription writing or dispensing.
- Provide access to the most current data within workflow as appropriate to all impacted parties for making clinical decisions at point of care.

4.3 Central Data Repository

- Provide PDMPs with more comprehensive multi-state access to data.
- Provide PDMPs with more accurate, timely and consistent data.
- Provide prescribers and pharmacies centralized access to accurate and up-to-date data for clinical and other decision making reasons.
- Provide clinical data to pharmacies and prescribers that are integrated within their workflow.
- Provide data analytics that are more consistent and inclusive.
5. Proposed Solutions

The task group recommends the following solutions to allow authorized healthcare providers, including prescribers and pharmacists, to make more informed clinical decisions prior to writing and dispensing medications, in an effort to reduce patient prescription drug overdosing and abuse.

1. Create a national repository for all PDMP data.
2. Adopt a minimum data set and standard transaction format for submission of dispensing data to the national repository.
3. Leverage the NCPDP Telecommunication Standard to support real-time reporting within the pharmacy’s workflow to a PDMP national repository.
4. Leverage the NCPDP Telecommunication Standard to support clinical alerts to the pharmacy prior to dispensing.
5. Leverage the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, including the Medication History transaction, to query PDMP data in real-time within the prescriber’s workflow to enable appropriate clinical decisions before the medication is prescribed.
6. Create and adopt a nationally recognized clinical risk score to be reported in the NCPDP SCRIPT Medication History transaction to assist prescribers and dispensers with clinical decisions.
6. Flow Charts

NCPDP’s model provides an onramp for existing PDMPs to optimize value of the programs at both the state and national levels.

NCPDP's integrated workflow solution uses existing NCPDP industry standards for proactive intervention at both the points of prescribing and dispensing, allowing for electronic access to prescription drug abuse data.

1. Pharmacy reports controlled substance in real-time to PDMP Facilitator. [NCPDP Telecommunication Standard]
2. Prescriber/HIT System queries PDMP data from PDMP Facilitator at point of care to make appropriate clinical decisions before the medication is prescribed. [NCPDP SCRIPT Standard/Medication History transaction]
3. Pharmacy receives clinical alerts from PDMP Facilitator that PDMP data needs to be checked prior to dispensing. [NCPDP Telecommunication Standard]
4. Pharmacy queries PDMP data from PDMP Facilitator at point of service to enable appropriate clinical decisions before the medication dispensed. [NCPDP SCRIPT Standard/Medication History transaction]
5. PDMP Facilitator provides State PDMPs with information regarding medications dispensed to meet individual state requirements. [NCPDP Telecommunication Standard Controlled Substance Reporting (C1) transaction]

Utilization of NCPDP’s existing standards will enable healthcare providers to deter prescription drug abuse and ensure access for patients with a valid medical need before substances are prescribed using real-time alerts and responses. This sustainable, national approach eliminates data silos and promotes interoperability, provides actionable and timely information to prescribers and pharmacists using existing workflows to ease adoption, and supports patient safety efforts to curb a public health crisis.
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Figure 2. Pharmacy Flow based on NCPDP Telecommunication Standard

Transaction Flow

1 – Billing Request to Intermediary
2 – Billing Request Subset to PDMP
3 – Pre-Processor Editing
4 – Response to Intermediary
5 – Interpretation of Response
6 – Pre-Processor Reject Response
7 – Billing Request to Processor
8 – Adjudication of Request
9 – Response to Intermediary
10 – Interpretation of Response
11 – Response to Pharmacy
12 – Data Delivery Request to PDMP
13 – Accept Response
14 – Data Delivery Acknowledgement
Figure 3. Prescriber Flow based on NCPDP SCRIPT Standard
7. Appendix A. History of Changes

7.1 Version 2.0

Section: Purpose and Scope was modified to add the following:
To address the prescription abuse program ONC formed an S&I Framework to bring together the
PDMP and health IT system communities to standardize the data format, transport, and security
protocols to exchange patient controlled substance history information between PDMPs and health
IT systems. NCPDP participation has been a high priority for the NCPDP Task Group. As a result of
pilot testing, several enhancements have been made to the NCPDP Medication History Request and
Response.

At the request of the NCPDP Strategic Planning Committee in November 2014 the NCPDP PDMP
White Paper Task Group re-convened to update the white paper based on any additional industry
information including results of the ONC S&I Framework. This task was completed in April 2015.

Section: Background was updated as follows:
• Updated statistics and picture to reflect October 2014 data
• “Timeliness of Data” was modified to “Reporting of Prescription Data” and text updated to
  the following:
  Timeliness of reporting the prescription data to a PDMP varies by state and can be anywhere from
  monthly to daily.
• Add new bullet “Prescription Data Reporting Formats” with the following text:
  State PDMPs are currently using different versions of the American Society for Automation
  in Pharmacy (ASAP) data transmission formats. ASAP is a batch data submission using a non-
  ANSI accredited standards. In addition, pharmacies are required to prescription data based
  on state specific requirements/rules, which include the submission of different identifier and
  required data elements by state.
• Deleted bullet “Reporting Format” and combined with the new bullet for “Prescription Data
  Reporting Formats”.
• The bullet “Multiple Work Groups” was deleted from the paper.

Section 3: Glossary was moved to Appendix B. Definitions were added for the following:

Health Information Exchange (HIE)
Electronic health information exchange (HIE) allows doctors, nurses, pharmacists, other health
care providers and patients to appropriately access and securely share a patient’s vital medical
information electronically—improving the speed, quality, safety and cost of patient care.

Hub
A highly secure communications exchange platform that facilitates transmission of PDMP
data to authorized requestors, allowing for in state and, where allowed, out-of-state queries
on a person of interest.

PDMP Gateway
PMP Gateway is an interface that simplifies integration of controlled substance prescription
history into health IT systems. PMP Gateway provides health IT systems, a single access point to many state prescription drug monitoring programs’ data via PMP Interconnect, thus saving healthcare providers, the effort of doing individual integrations with each state PDMP

**Prescription Monitoring Information eXchange (PMIX)**

The Prescription Monitoring Information Exchange (PMIX) National Architecture enables Interoperability between systems PDMPs use for interstate exchange of PDMP data.

**S&I Framework**

The S&I Framework is a collaborative community of participants from the public and private sectors who are focused on providing the tools, services and guidance to facilitate the functional exchange of health information. The S&I Framework uses a set of integrated functions, processes, and tools that enable execution of specific value-creating initiatives. Each S&I Initiative tackles a critical interoperability challenge through a rigorous process that typically includes:

- Development of clinically-oriented user stories and robust use cases
- Harmonization of interoperability specifications and implementation guidance
- Provision of real-world experience and implementer support through new initiatives, workgroups and pilot projects
- Mechanisms for feedback and testing of implementations, often in conjunction with ONC partners such as NIST

**Added bullet to Section:** *Pharmacy Perspective* under Section: *The Problem*

- Lack of standardized patient matching criteria at the PDMP or intermediaries.

**Updated Section:** *Reporting/Data Submissions* under Section: *Pharmacy Perspective* as follows:

- Pharmacy has varying requirements by state for submitting PDMP data. The result is supporting multiple transaction layouts that increase administrative costs,
- Frequency of data submission varies from state to state between near real-time to monthly.
- If the data submitted is inaccurate or incomplete (i.e. missing patient zip code), the notification and update process is inconsistent amongst the different programs.
- Data and format requirements vary from state to state. Most states require data formatted in various versions of the American Society for Automation in Pharmacy Standards (ASAP).
- Pharmacy compliance monitoring varies by state.
- Data is not normalized (i.e. address/city/state, one vs. 1)
- Data is delivered using many automated and manual methods (such as):
  - Secure FTP over SSH
  - Encrypted File with OpenPGP via FTP
  - SSL Website
  - Physical Media (Tape, Diskette, CD, DVD)

**Updated Section:** *Accessibility* under Section: *Pharmacy Perspective* to Section: *Data Accessibility* and updated as follows:

- Internal security firewalls can prevent access to databases.
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- Gaining access to state PDMPs varies widely from state to state.
  - Those individuals that are allowed access to PDMP data vary by State.
  - Process of registering for access varies by State.
  - Validation of access varies by State.
- Access is not available to all those participating in the dispensing and clinical processes.
- Pharmacy does not have access to PDMP data within their workflow as a result must interrupt operational processes to access an external database.
- Consistent access to PDMP data across state lines impacts the pharmacy’s ability to make accurate clinical decisions.
- Pharmacists providing patient care (clinical services such as Drug Utilization Review and Medication Therapy Management) should have access to PDMP data prior to comprehensive medication reviews.

Updated Section: Data Integrity under Section: Pharmacy Perspective as follows:

- Gaps in data:
  - Not all entities required to submit data (e.g. Indian Health Services, Veterans Administration, state specific programs, and other providers and locations that are administering and dispensing medications are included.)
  - Drugs required to be reported vary by States.
  - NDC matching may vary by compendia companies.
- Missing, incomplete and/or invalid data due to lag in reporting and validation leads to incomplete records.

Updated Section: Prescriber Perspective with the following bullets:

- Lack of real-time interoperable databases among all the states.
- Lack of a standard set of data elements and values to make interoperability possible.
- Lack of real-time response for validating accurate data.
- Lack of a real-time response in order to make clinical decisions before the prescription is written. The current process is manual and outside of the prescribers workflow.
- Lack of standardized patient matching criteria at the PDMP or intermediaries.

Combined Sections: Reporting and Accessibility under Section: Prescriber Perspective into Section: Data Accessibility and modified as follows:

- Internal security firewalls can prevent access to databases.
- Gaining access to state PDMPs varies widely from state to state.
  - Those individuals that are allowed access to PDMP data vary by State.
  - Process of registering for access varies by State.
  - Validation of access varies by State.
- Access is not available to all those participating in the prescribing and clinical processes.
- Prescriber does not have access to PDMP data within their workflow as a result must interrupt operational processes to access an external database.
- Consistent access to PDMP data across state lines impacts the prescriber’s ability to make accurate clinical decisions.

Updated Section: Data Integrity under Section: Prescriber Perspective as follows:

- Gaps in data:
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- Not all entities required to submit data (e.g., Indian Health Services, Veterans Administration, state specific programs, and other providers and locations that are administering and dispensing medications are included.)
- Drugs required to be reported vary by States.
- NDC matching may vary by compendia companies.
  - Missing, incomplete and/or invalid data due to lag in reporting and validation leads to incomplete records.

Updated Section: Improvement Recommendations as follows:
By leveraging existing industry standards and processes, several recognized problems could be resolved.

Updated Section: Standardization under Section: Improvement Recommendations as follows:
  - Require a standard set of data elements to be reported by dispenser’s systems to the PDMP to be adopted by all states.
  - Require one standard transaction format/version for reporting PDMP to the states,
  - Require one standard transaction for the request and response of PDMP data.
  - Create and adopt a nationally recognized clinical risk score to assist prescribers and dispensers with clinical decisions.

Created Section: Real-Time Reporting

Created Section: Dispenser Report of Data under Section Real-Time Reporting
  - Reduce reporting delays by allowing PDMP type rejections to be corrected at point of adjudication.
  - Enable the exchange of information across states to create a comprehensive picture of prescribing and dispensing patterns.
  - Report Date Filled or Date of Service rather than Date Sold (Date delivered or shipped.)
  - Real-time reporting would eliminate the need for zero reports (no schedules filled).

Created Section: Retrieval of PDMP Data
  - Improve patient quality of care with clinical decision alerts presented at the time of prescription writing or dispensing.
  - Provide access to the most current data within workflow as appropriate to all impacted parties for making clinical decisions at point of care.

Updated Section: Proposed Solution as follows:
  1. Create a national repository for all PDMP data.
  2. Adopt a minimum data set and standard transaction format for submission of dispensing data to the national repository.
  3. Leverage the NCPDP Telecommunication Standard to support real-time reporting within the pharmacy’s workflow to PDMP a national repository.
  4. Leverage the NCPDP Telecommunication Standard to support clinical alerts to the pharmacy prior to dispensing.
5. Leverage the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, including the Medication History transaction, to query PDMP data in real-time within the prescriber’s work flow to enable appropriate clinical decisions before the medication is prescribed.

6. Leverage the NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, including the Medication History transaction, to query PDMP data in real-time within the pharmacy’s work flow to enable appropriate clinical decisions before the medication is dispensed.

7. Create and adopt a nationally recognized clinical risk score to be reported in the NCPDP SCRIPT Medication History transaction to assist prescribers and dispensers with clinical decisions.

Added a high level flow to Section: Flow Charts

Updated Transaction Flow for both Pharmacy and Prescriber in Section: Flow Charts

Updated List of Participants in Appendix C

7.2 Version 2.1
Title of the white paper was changed to NCPDP’s Recommendations for an Integrated, Interoperable Solution to Ensure Patient Safe Use of Controlled Substances.

Executive Summary was added.

Purpose and Scope – paragraph inserted:
- After the introduction of the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act (CARA Bill) for PDMP Enhancements that calls for specific enhancements to PDMP programs and the NCPDP EDvocacy meetings, additional updates were made to the white paper in October 2016 including an Executive Summary.

Background
- The illustration displaying the status of PDMPs across the United States was removed from the white paper and the information will be available in NCPDP’s State PMP Tracking Document which is updated quarterly. http://www.ncpdp.org/Resources/Hot-Topics
- The paragraph, Interoperability, was modified to remove the PMIX architecture information.

Updated 3.1 Pharmacy Perspective with the following bullet:
- Lack of consistency among state requirements when a pharmacy is required to check PDMP data prior to dispensing.

Updated 3.2 Prescriber Perspective with the following bullet:
- Lack of consistency among state requirements when a prescriber is required to check PDMP data prior to prescribing.

6. Flow Charts
Modified the following bullets to remove the reference to “National” PDMP Facilitator and reference NCPDP standards within the bullets instead of using footnotes.
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1. Pharmacy reports controlled substance in real-time to PDMP Facilitator. [NCPDP Telecommunication Standard]
2. Prescriber/HIT System queries PDMP data from PDMP Facilitator at point of care to make appropriate clinical decisions before the medication is prescribed. [NCPDP SCRIPT Standard/Medication History transaction]
3. Pharmacy receives clinical alerts from PDMP Facilitator that PDMP data needs to be checked prior to dispensing. [NCPDP Telecommunication Standard]
4. Pharmacy queries PDMP data from PDMP Facilitator at point of service to enable appropriate clinical decisions before the medication dispensed. [NCPDP SCRIPT Standard/Medication History transaction]
5. PDMP Facilitator provides State PDMPs with information regarding medications dispensed to meet individual state requirements. [NCPDP Telecom C1 transaction]
8. Appendix B. Glossary

ASAP
American Society for Automation in Pharmacy (ASAP) has various versions of different layouts for PDMP reporting.

Authorized Healthcare Professionals
Healthcare professionals involved in patient treatment who may or may not have prescribing or dispensing authority, need access to PDMP data, and have the ability to appoint delegates. These licensed healthcare professionals could include practitioners who work in fields such as medication therapy management, disease management, behavioral health that involves utilization management review and case management, and practitioners such as substance abuse clinicians and psychologists.

Clinical Data
Concepts or terms applying to the clinical delivery of care.

Clinical Decisions
Judgmental process clinicians use to make logical, rational decisions to decide whether an action is right or wrong. Clinical Decision Support (CDS) is defined as "providing clinicians or patients with clinical knowledge and patient-related information, intelligently filtered or presented at appropriate times, to enhance patient care."

DEA Number
A number assigned to a health care provider by the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) allowing them to write prescriptions for controlled substances. Legally, the DEA number is solely to be used for tracking controlled substances. It is used by the industry, however, as a general "prescriber number" that is a unique identifier for anyone who can prescribe medication.

Dispenser
Pharmacy or physician authorized to dispense controlled substances

FTP
File Transfer Protocol; commonly used protocol for exchanging files over any network.

Health Information Exchange (HIE)
Electronic health information exchange (HIE) allows doctors, nurses, pharmacists, other health care providers and patients to appropriately access and securely share a patient’s vital medical information electronically—improving the speed, quality, safety and cost of patient care.

---
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Hub
A highly secure communications exchange platform that facilitates transmission of PDMP data to authorized requestors, allowing for in state and, where allowed, out of state queries on a person of interest.

Manual Claim Form
Various forms used by the provider of service to submit a claim to the patient’s payer or insurer or the state.

NABP
National Association of Boards of Pharmacy

NCPDP
National Council for Prescription Drug Programs

NDC
National Drug Code describes specific drugs by drug manufacturer and package size.

NPI
National Provider Identifier is a unique 10-digit identification number issued to health care providers in the United States by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

ONC
Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology

PDMP
A PDMP is a *statewide* electronic database which collects designated data on substances dispensed in the state. The PDMP is housed by a specified statewide regulatory, administrative or law enforcement agency. The housing agency distributes data from the database to individuals who are authorized under state law to receive the information for purposes of their profession.5

PDMP Gateway
PMP Gateway is an interface that simplifies integration of controlled substance prescription history into health IT systems. PMP Gateway provides health IT systems, a single access point to many state prescription drug monitoring programs’ data via PMP Interconnect, thus saving healthcare providers, the effort of doing individual integrations with each state PDMP.

PMP InterConnect
PMP InterConnect is a highly secure communications exchange platform that is owned by the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy. PMP InterConnect facilitates the transfer of prescription monitoring program (PMP) data across state lines to authorized users. It allows

participating state PMPs across the United States to be linked, providing a more effective means of combating drug diversion and drug abuse nationwide.

**Prescription Monitoring Information eXchange (PMIX)**
The Prescription Monitoring Information Exchange (PMIX) National Architecture enables Interoperability between systems PDMPs use for interstate exchange of PDMP data.

**Prescriber**
A practitioner authorized by state and federal agencies to prescribe controlled substances.

**SCRIPT Standard**
The NCPDP SCRIPT Standard is used for transmitting prescription information electronically between prescribers, providers, and other entities. The standard addresses the electronic transmission of new prescriptions, changes of prescriptions, prescription refill requests, prescription fill status notifications, cancellation notifications, relaying of medication history, transactions for long-term care, and other transaction functions. The SCRIPT Standard is named in the Medicare Modernization Act.

**SFTP**
Secure File Transfer Protocol (also referred to as SSH File Transfer Protocol); provides file transfer and manipulation functionality over any reliable data stream.

**S&I Framework**
The S&I Framework is a collaborative community of participants from the public and private sectors who are focused on providing the tools, services and guidance to facilitate the functional exchange of health information. The S&I Framework uses a set of integrated functions, processes, and tools that enable execution of specific value-creating initiatives. Each S&I Initiative tackles a critical interoperability challenge through a rigorous process that typically includes:
- Development of clinically-oriented user stories and robust use cases
- Harmonization of interoperability specifications and implementation guidance
- Provision of real-world experience and implementer support through new initiatives, workgroups and pilot projects
- Mechanisms for feedback and testing of implementations, often in conjunction with ONC partners such as NIST

**SSL**
Secure Sockets Layer; cryptographic protocol that provides secure communications for data transfers.

**Telecommunication Standard**
The NCPDP Telecommunication Standard is used for the electronic submission of eligibility verification, claim and service billing, predetermination of benefits, prior authorization, information reporting, and controlled substance (general and regulated) transaction exchanges. The Telecommunication Standard is named in HIPAA and the Medicare Modernization Act.
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